SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DIVISION NO. 44 HON. SAMUEL MAYERSON, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT,

VS.

NO. 2CA04539

KELLEY LYNCH

DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING FRIDAY, MARCH 23, 2012

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

SANDRA JO STREETER

DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

JOHN B. PERRONI, III DEPUTY PUBLIC DEFENDER

ANNETTE L. VAN OLDEN, CSR #7514 OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

COPY

-	CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES	
-		•
. 3		
ī	PEOPLE'S WITNESSES DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS DIRE VOL	
:	LEONARD COHEN 7 19	
ŧ		
-		
. :	VOIR	
3	DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS DIRE VOL	_
	(WONE CITTED)	
	(NONE CALLED)	
	*	
13	REBUTTAL:	
-7 18	KEBOTTAB.	
15		
	LEGEND:	
13		
13		
: :		
::		
::		
غ ^د _	s	

03/18/2015

<u>:</u> 5

16

_7

27

28

TIME:

CASE NUMBER: 2CA04539

CASE NAME: PEOPLE VS. KELLEY LYNCH

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA MARCH 23, 2012

DEPARTMENT NO. 44 HON. SAMUEL MAYERSON, JUDGE

FEPORTER: ANNETTE VAN OLDEN, CSR NO.7514

(THE DEFENDANT, KELLEY LYNCH, PRESENT
WITH COUNSEL, JOHN PERRONI, III DEPUTY
PUBLIC DEFENDER; SANDRA JO STREETER, DEPUTY
CITY ATTORNEY REPRESENTING THE PEOPLE OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA.)

10:00 A.M.

THE COURT: PEOPLE VERSUS KELLEY LYNCH.

IS THAT YOUR NAME?

THE DEFENDANT: YES, IT IS.

THE COURT: THE CASE IS NUMBER 2CA04539.

WILL COUNSEL STATE YOUR APPEARANCES?

MR. PERRONI: JOHN PERRONI, DEPUTY PUBLIC DEFENDER ON BEHALF OF MS. LYNCH.

MS. STREETER: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR, SANDRA STREETER FOR THE PEOPLE.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

I GOT THIS FILE A SHORT TIME AGO, AND I SEE THAT WE HAD A COMPLAINT FILED ON JANUARY 25TH, THIS YEAR AND AN AMENDED COMPLAINT WAS FILED THIS MORNING, AND THE TRANSFER MEMO SAYS WE ARE HERE FOR A BAIL HEARING.

I THINK IN THE COMPLAINT I SAW AN ARREST

WARRANT ISSUED. THE BAIL WAS SET ON THAT WARRANT AT 350.000.

MS. STREETER: I WOULD BE MORE THAN HAPPY TO EXPLAIN TO THE COURT. I DON'T KNOW IF COURT AND COUNSEL WANT ME TO MAKE MY MOTION TO EXCLUDE WITNESSES NOW? IF THE COURT AND COUNSEL DOES, I CAN.

THE COURT: ARE WE GOING TO HAVE WITNESSES TO TESTIFY ON THIS SUBJECT?

MS. STREETER: YES. THE PEOPLE MAKE A MOTION TO EXCLUDE WITNESSES.

THE COURT: PEOPLE -- LET'S SEE THE MOVING PARTY IS THE DEFENSE.

IS THAT YOUR REQUEST?

MR. PERRONI: I BELIEVE BOTH PARTIES ARE THE MOVING PARTY IN THIS SITUATION.

THE PEOPLE ARE SEEKING TO INCREASE BAIL AND THE DEFENSE IS SEEKING TO HAVE MS. LYNCH RELEASED ON HER OWN RECOGNIZANCE.

> THE COURT: IS THAT THE SITUATION, MS. STREETER? MS. STREETER: YES.

THE COURT: THEN YOU ARE ASKING TO INCREASE IT? MS. STREETER: BUT IF I COULD EXPLAIN? IF MY WITNESS COULD STEP OUTSIDE, YOUR HONOR?

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

BUT I HAVE TO FIX -- MS. STREETER, IN THE ORDER OF PROCEEDING, THERE'S TWO MOTIONS BUT WHO IS GOING TO CARRY THE BURDEN? THERE IS A BAIL. I UNDERSTAND IT IS \$50,000.

00 Л 13

13

30

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

ΞΞ.

: :

13

- 4m

25

26

27

28

MS. STREETER: NO.

THE COURT: WHAT IS THE BAIL?

MS. STREETER: 15,000.

THE COURT: OH.

MS. STREETER: IT WAS REDUCED.

SINCE IT'S BEEN REDUCED, THE PEOPLE HAVE HIDITIONAL INFORMATION THAT THE ARRAIGNMENT COURT WASN'T AWARE OF AND WHICH IS THE BASIS OF THE PEOPLE'S AMENDMENT IN THE BASIS OF THE NEW INFORMATION, AND THE PEOPLE'S MOTION TO AMEND, THE PEOPLE ARE SEEKING TO AT A MINIMUM HAVE THE BAIL GO BACK UP TO WHAT THE WARRANT WAS ISSUED Z. .

THE COURT: IN THE FILE, YOU DID SET FORTH A COMPLETE BRIEF TO JUSTIFY FILING THE AMENDMENT, AND I PRESUME THAT YOU WERE GIVEN THE PERMISSION TO FILE IT?

MS. STREETER: WE JUST NOTICED. THE DEFENDANT DIDN'T ENTER A PLEA IN THE MOTION.

THE COURT: WELL, I LOOKED OVER THE AMENDMENT, ALL IT DID WAS ADD THREE COUNTS OF THE SAME TYPE OF CONDUCT.

MS. STREETER: THAT IS CORRECT, BUT OVER THREE ADDITIONAL MONTHS, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: THEN YOU MEAN THE ISSUE OF WHETHER OR NOT -- WHETHER OR NOT YOU WERE PERMITTED TO FILE THE COMPLAINT, IT HAS A FILE STAMP ON IT -- I MEAN THE AMENDED COMPLAINT.

MS. STREETER: RIGHT.

THE COURT: DID YOU ATTEMPT TO ARRAIGN THE DEFENDANT ON THE ADDITIONAL COUNTS IN THE COURT WHERE YOU FILED THE

 ω O

. =

. ÷

::

146

<u>.</u>5

36

27

28

LIMBED COMPLAINT?

MS. STREETER: THE PEOPLE WERE GOING TO DO THAT TIE. II'S BEEN MY EXPERIENCE WHENEVER PEOPLE FILE A TITLE TO AMEND THE COMPLAINT, THE DEFENSE ASKS FOR MORE TIME TO RESPOND TO THE AMENDMENT.

THE COURT: THAT IS THE SENSE OF YOUR BRIEF?

MS. STREETER: THAT IS TRUE.

THE COURT: THAT NO TIME IS WARRANTED TO DELAY?

MR. PERRONI, DID I SAY YOUR NAME RIGHT?

MR. PERRONI: YOU DID, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: HAVE YOU OBJECTED TO THE ARRAIGNMENT OF

YOUR CLIENT ON THE AMENDED COMPLAINT?

MR. PERRONI: NO, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: THEN LET'S DO THAT FIRST.

MS. STREETER: OKAY.

THE COURT: THEN THE PEOPLE, MAKING A MOTION TO INCREASE BAIL, I WILL CONSIDER THAT TO BE THE INITIAL MOTION. THEN YOU WILL HAVE TO GO FIRST IN THE PRESENTING OF EVIDENCE. I AM ADDRESSING, MS. STREETER.

MS. STREETER: BUT I GUESS BEFORE I GET TO INCREASE BAIL, PEOPLE MAKE A MOTION TO AMEND TO ADD THREE ADDITONAL COUNTS WE NOTICED, HAVE THE DEFENDANT ARRAIGNED ON THEM IN THE COMPLAINT.

THE COURT: I SAID WE'LL GO AHEAD AND ARRAIGN HER NOW ON THE AMENDED COMPLAINT.

MS. STREETER: OKAY. OKAY.

THE COURT: ARE YOU GOING TO AMEND -- ARRAIGN HER?

MS. STREETER: DOES THE DEFENDANT WAIVE --

::

: :

23

24

<u>-</u> 5

26

27

28

MR. PERRONI: YOUR HONOR, THE DEFENSE ACKNOWLEDGES FECELET OF THE AMENDED MISDEMEANOR COMPLAINT.

DEFENDANT WAIVES ARRAIGNMENT, FORMAL READING IF THE COMPLAINT. WE WAIVE FURTHER READING OF THE INSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS. WE DENY THE ALLEGATIONS. WE ENTER FLEAS OF NOT GUILTY. WE MAKE AN INFORMAL REQUEST FOR IISICVERY AND WE RESERVE MOTIONS.

THE COURT: THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, MR. PERRONI.

MR. PERRONI: THANK YOU.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MS. STREETER: ON THE BASIS OF THE AMENDMENT, THE PEOPLE ARE NOW SEEKING TO HAVE THE BAIL INCREASED.

THE COURT: KEEP YOUR VOICE UP SO I'LL HEAR YOU CLEARLY.

> I HAVE TO MAKE MY NOTES AS THIS GOES ALONG. ALL RIGHT.

NOW, THE ISSUES ARE DRAWN, BUT THE DEFENDANT'S ENTERING A NOT GUILTY PLEA ON THE AMENDED COMPLAINT, THE PEOPLE HAVE A MOTION TO RAISE THE BAIL.

IS THAT IT?

MS. STREETER: CORRECT, BASED ON THE AMENDED COMPLAINT, THAT IS CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: OBVIOUSLY THE DEFENSE IS GOING -- THE PROSECUTION IS GOING TO OFFER WITNESSES AND MADE A MOTION TO EXCLUDE.

> HOW MANY WITNESSES DO YOU HAVE, MS. STREETER? MS. STREETER: YES, ONE.

THE COURT: WELL, THERE ARE THREE PEOPLE IN THE

ARE ANY OF THEM DEFENSE WITNESSES?

MR. PERRONI: NO, YOUR HONOR.

MS. STREETER: NO, THEY ARE NOT WITNESSES, YOUR -INIE. ONE IS AN INVESTIGATOR WITH MR. COHEN'S LAW FIRM.

THE LADY SITTING IN THE BACK IS AN INVESTIGATOR WITH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, AND I BELIEVE THE OTHER GENTLEMEN IS A DEFENSE ATTORNEY, DIFFECT?

HE IS A DEFENSE ATTORNEY.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

AS LONG AS THEY ARE NOT WITNESSES, THEY ARE SERTAINLY ENTITLED TO REMAIN IN THE COURTROOM.

MS. STREETER: THE REASON WHY THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY INVESTIGATOR IS HERE, YOUR HONOR, IS IN THE NUMBER OF THE E-MAILS THAT MS. LYNCH HAS SENT, SHE'S ALSO MADE THREATS AGAINST MR. COOLEY. THAT IS WHY THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY INVESTIGATOR IS HERE TODAY.

THE COURT: SHE'S ENTITLED.

CALL YOUR FIRST WITNESS.

MS. STREETER: THE PEOPLE CALL LEONARD COHEN TO THE WITNESS STAND.

THE CLERK: SIR, PLEASE RAISE YOUR RIGHT-HAND.

YOU DO SOLEMNLY STATE THAT THE
TESTIMONY YOU MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW
PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT SHALL BE THE TRUTH,
THE WHOLE TRUTH AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH SO

1.10

2 5

37

#ILF 100 GOD?

THE WITNESS: YES, SIR.

THE CLERK: PLEASE HAVE A SEAT.

SIR, PLEASE STATE AND SPELL YOUR FIRST AND

LAST MAMES FOR THE RECORD.

THE WITNESS: LEONARD COHEN, L-E-O-N-A-R-D

T-J-H-E-N.

THE CLERK: THANK YOU.

THE COURT: PROCEED.

MS. STREETER: ALL RIGHT.

LEONARD COHEN,

CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PEOPLE, WAS SWORN AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. STREETER:

- Q GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. COHEN?
- A GOOD AFTERNOON, MA'AM.
- O DO YOU KNOW AN INDIVIDUAL BY THE NAME OF

KELLEY LYNCH?

- A I DO.
- Q IS SHE HERE IN COURT?
- A YES, SHE IS.
- Q CAN YOU PLEASE POINT HER OUT, WHERE SHE'S

SEATED AND WHAT SHE'S WEARING?

A SHE'S WEARING A BLUE JUMPSUIT. 'SHE'S SEATED

28 AT THE END OF THE TABLE.

THE COURT: YOU MEAN AT THE LEFT END?

THE WITNESS: THE LEFT END OF THE TABLE.

THE COURT: LET'S START OFF MY WAY. THIS IS NOT A ILLE UP. THIS LADY IS THE ONLY PERSON IN THE COURTROOM *IRFING A JAIL UNIFORM.

IF IT WERE A LINEUP, THAT IS A LITTLE BIT TOO SUBGESTIVE DIRECTING THE WITNESS'S ATTENTION TO THE LADY HI THE LEFT END OF THE TABLE, IN FRONT OF US.

IS THAT THE LADY YOU KNOW?

THE WITNESS: YES, SIR.

THE COURT: LET'S NOT WASTE TIME. MOVE IT ON.

MS. STREETER: ALL RIGHT, YOUR HONOR.

EY MS. STREETER:

- Q NOW, HOW DO YOU KNOW MS. LYNCH?
- A I AM SORRY?
- HOW TO YOU KNOW MS. LYNCH?
- MS. LYNCH WORKED AS MY BUSINESS MANAGER FOR ABOUT 17 YEARS OR SO.
 - OKAY. Q

AND AT SOME POINT DID YOU GET, EVENTUALLY GET A PERMANENT RESTRAINING ORDER AGAINST MS. LYNCH?

YES. WE HAVE THREE RESTRAINING ORDERS AGAINST A MS. LYNCH.

AND SINCE YOU'VE GOTTEN RESTRAINING ORDERS, HAS MS. LYNCH CONTACTED YOU?

SHE'S CONTACTED ME FREQUENTLY IN THOUSANDS OF A E-MAILS, HUNDREDS OF TELEPHONE CALLS.

Q OKAY. I WANT TO DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION,

16

_ 3

TIMEN, TO THE TIME PERIOD FROM DECEMBER 15TH, 2011, TO

ALL RIGHT, MR. COHEN?

- E YES, MA'AM.
- NOW, I SEE YOU'RE OPENING UP A BOOK,

AF. COHEM.

ARE THOSE SOME NOTES YOU HAVE ABOUT THE

A YES, I HAVE SOME FIGURES ABOUT HOW MANY E-MAILS WERE SENT.

Q LET'S DO THIS, MR. COHEN, I AM GOING TO ASK

A YES, MA'AM.

Q IF YOU HAVE AN IDEA ABOUT THE ANSWER BUT CAN'T SEALLY RECALL, THEN WHAT I CAN DO IS I CAN ASK YOU IF YOU WEED TO LOOK AT YOUR NOTES TO REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION?

A UNDERSTOOD.

Q IF WE COULD JUST PUT YOUR NOTES -- YOU SEE THE TISSUE RIGHT THERE, IF WE COULD PUT YOUR NOTES RIGHT UP NEXT TO THE TISSUE RIGHT NOW WHILE YOU ARE TESTIFYING.

THEN IF YOU NEED TO LOOK AT IT, WE'LL DO THAT.

OKAY?

SO DURING THE MONTH FROM DECEMBER 16TH TO DECEMBER 31ST, 2012, DID MS. LYNCH --

THE COURT: GIVE ME THOSE DATES AGAIN.

MS. STREETER: DECEMBER 16TH.

THE COURT: 2011?

MS. STREETER: YES, TO DECEMBER 31ST, 2011.

_ 7

_3

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: THAT IS SOMETHING LIKE BARELY TWO

MS. STREETER: TWO AND A HALF MONTHS.

THE COURT: THAT IS A TWO AND A HALF MONTH PERIOD.
YOU UNDERSTAND THE PERIOD OF TIME NOW,

F. COHEN?

THE WITNESS: YES, SIR.

THE COURT: WHAT IS THE QUESTION RELATING TO THAT FERIOD OF TIME?

MS. STREETER: I AM GOING TO BREAK IT UP, YOUR HONOR. ET MS. STREETER:

Q SO LET ME ASK. LET ME DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION
TO DECEMBER 15TH, 2011, TO DECEMBER 31ST 2011.
DID MS. LYNCH CONTACT YOU VIA E-MAIL,

MR. COHEN?

A YES, MA'AM.

Q AND IS YOUR E-MAIL ADDRESS

AT DOT ?

A CORRECT.

Q AND DO YOU KNOW HOW MANY E-MAILS YOU RECEIVED FROM MS. LYNCH DURING THE TIME PERIOD OF DECEMBER 15TH TO DECEMBER 31ST 2011?

MR. PERRONI: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR, FOUNDATION.

THE COURT: OVERRULED.

THE WITNESS: YES, I DO, IF I MAY CONSULT MY NOTES?

MS. STREETER: WOULD THAT HELP REFRESH YOUR

RECOLLECTION?

THE WITNESS: YES, MA'AM.

•	THE COURT: GO AHEAD.
	YOU MAY LOOK AT YOUR NOTES IF THEY ARE JUST TO
-	FEFRESH YOUR MEMORY.
-	THE WITNESS: 192 E-MAILS IN THAT FIRST PERIOD.
3	EY MS. STREETER:
÷	Q ALL RIGHT.
-	DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION TO THE TIME PERIOD
Ē	330 YOU RECEIVE ANY TELEPHONE CALLS FROM MS. LYNCH DURING
<u>.</u>	THE TIME PERIOD OF DECEMBER 15TH, 2011 TO DECEMBER 31ST,
	2011?
	A I DON'T RECALL.
	Q OKAY.
_B	DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION TO THE TIME PERIOD OF
1.	JANUARY 1ST, 2012 TO JANUARY 31ST, 2012, HOW MANY E-MAILS
15	DID YOU RECEIVE FROM MS. LYNCH?
1:	A I RECEIVED 486 E-MAILS.
-	MR. PERRONI: JUST FOR THE RECORD, IT APPEARS THAT
::	THESE FIGURES ARE BEING READ OUT OF MR. COHEN'S NOTES FOR
1.3	THE RECORD.
=:	THE COURT: WELL, YOU SAID YOU NEEDED TO REFRESH
-:	YOUR MEMORY.
22	WHEN DID YOU MAKE THESE NOTES?
23	THE WITNESS: WELL, I LOOKED LAST NIGHT.
24 🚓	THE COURT: LAST NIGHT, BY SIMPLY COUNTING?
25	THE WITNESS: CORRECT?
26	THE COURT: HAD YOU SAVED THOSE ON YOUR COMPUTER?
27	THE WITNESS: I SAVED THEM ON MY COMPUTER AND MY
28	ATTORNEYS PRINTED THEM OUT. I WENT THROUGH EVERY ONE OF

. :

_:

: :

22

::

14

25

26

27

28

T.E.

THE COURT: JUST ANSWER MY QUESTION.

THE WITNESS: I AM SORRY.

THE COURT: DO YOU NEED TO LOOK AT THOSE NOTES TO FEIENBER THESE NUMBERS?

THE WITNESS: I AM AFRAID I DO. MY MEMORY IS NOT FERFECT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

SO IF YOU ARE LOOKING AT THE NOTES AND THEY ICH'T REFRESH YOUR MEMORY, MS. STREETER, THERE'S A DIFFERENT FOUNDATION THAT HAS TO BE LAID FOR PAST HNOWLEDGE RECORDED.

MS. STREETER: RIGHT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

DO IT THAT WAY.

WAIT, WAIT A MINUTE.

MR. PERRONI: SHOULD I MOVE TO STRIKE? ARE WE ALL ON THE SAME PAGE HERE?

THE COURT: JUST LEAVE IT ALONE FOR NOW. IF YOU'LL LAY THE PROPER FOUNDATION, HE CAN JUST READ IT.

GO AHEAD WILL YOU, MS. STREETER.

BY MS. STREETER:

DO LOOKING AT THE NOTES HELP REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION AS TO HOW MANY E-MAILS YOU RECEIVED FROM MS. LYNCH?

THE COURT: EXCUSE ME, MS. STREETER. IT IS NOT REFRESHING HIS MEMORY IF HE HAS NO MEMORY OF THE NUMBER. IT'S A LARGE NUMBER, AND HE SAID HE COUNTED THEM. IF HE

 \odot \mathbb{W} Ώ. \odot COUNTED THEM, DID HE RECORD THEM?

WHEN HE RECORDED THEM, DID HE DO THAT AT ABOUT THE TIME HE MADE THE COUNT? THEN HE CAN READ WHAT HE WROTE. IT IS NOT REFRESHING THE MEMORY. IT IS A DIFFERENT FORM OF PRESENTING EVIDENCE WITH A PROPER FOUNDATION.

MS. STREETER: OKAY.

JUST A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: MAY I ASK WHAT YOU ARE LOOKING UP, MS. STREETER?

MS. STREETER: I AM LOOKING UP THE FOUNDATION FOR
PAST RECOLLECTION RECORDED, YOUR HONOR, SO I CAN MAKE SURE
I GO THROUGH ALL THE STEPS.

THE COURT: I JUST GAVE YOU THE PROCEDURE. I TOLD YOU IF HE COUNTED THEM, DID HE RECORD IT, AND DID HE DO THAT WHILE IT WAS IMMEDIATELY REFRESHED IN HIS KNOWLEDGE AS A RESULT OF HIS COUNT, AND HE HAS NO INDEPENDENT RECOLLECTION OF THE RECEIPT.

SO HE CAN READ WHAT HE WROTE.

MS. STREETER: ALL RIGHT.

BY MR. STREETER:

Q MR. COHEN, YOU MENTIONED LAST NIGHT THAT YOU READ ALL THE E-MAILS BETWEEN --

A I READ ALL THE E-MAILS OF TWO DAYS. THERE WERE OVER A THOUSAND PAGES.

Q DID YOU COUNT THE E-MAILS FROM THE TIME PERIOD OF DECEMBER 15TH, 2011, TO FEBRUARY 29TH, 2012?

A YES, I DID.

27 28

] 4 @

25

Q AND AFTER YOU COUNTED THOSE E-MAILS, DID YOU
FECURE HOW MANY E-MAILS YOU RECEIVED EACH MONTH FROM
HE. LYNCH?
A I DID.
Q AND AT THE TIME THAT YOU RECORDED THE NUMBER
"AS THAT INFORMATION FRESH IN YOUR MEMORY?
A YES, IT WAS.

O OKAY.

THE COURT: DON'T EMBELLISH. JUST ANSWER EACH QUESTION AS BRIEFLY AS YOU CAN, AND IF IT REQUIRES A YES, IR NO, GIVE THAT ANSWER. IF YOU HAVE TO EXPLAIN IT, I'LL ALLOW IT.

ALL RIGHT.

BY MS. STREETER:

Q DO YOU HAVE AN INDEPENDENT --

THE COURT: NOT INDEPENDENT.

BY MS. STREETER:

_:

15

27

3

DID YOU HAVE AN INDEPENDENT RECOLLECTION OF THOSE EVENTS AT THE TIME THAT YOU RECORDED THEM?

(NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE.)

AT THE TIME YOU RECORDED THE INFORMATION, WAS IT FRESH IN YOUR MIND?

> YES. A

Q DO YOU HAVE AN INDEPENDENT RECOLLECTION NOW WITHOUT LOOKING AT THE NOTES OF WHAT THOSE NUMBERS ARE THAT YOU WROTE DOWN?

WELL, IT'S ABOUT 800.

OKAY. 0

0

OKAY.

BUT YOU DON'T HAVE IT -- DO YOU HAVE AN INDEPENDENT RECOLLECTION OF EACH, THE NUMBER FOR EACH MONTH WITHOUT LOOKING, READING YOUR NOTES? I AM SORRY, I DON'T. THE COURT: THAT'S WHY PAST RECOLLECTION RECORDED, HE CAN SIMPLY READ WHAT HE WROTE DOWN WHEN IT WAS FRESH IN HIS MIND AFTER THE COUNT. HE SAID HE REREAD OVER A = THOUSAND PAGES OF E-MAILS. MS. STREETER: THAT IS WHY I WAS TRYING TO LAY THE FOUNDATION, YOUR HONOR. BY MS. STREETER: SO FOR THE MONTH OF JANUARY, HOW MANY E-MAILS DID YOU RECEIVE? 486. A AND DO YOU RECALL WHETHER OR NOT MS. LYNCH 16 CALLED YOU DURING THE MONTH OF JANUARY? I BELIEVE SHE DID, BUT IT'S -- I DON'T RECALL. A I BELIEVE SHE DID, BUT I DON'T RECALL THE NUMBER OF . 3 TIMES. 20 0 OKAY. 2: DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION TO THE TIME PERIOD OF 22 FEBRUARY 1ST THROUGH FEBRUARY 29TH, 2012, DID YOU RECEIVE 23 E-MAILS FROM MS. LYNCH? . A 24 YES, I DID. 25 HOW MANY E-MAILS DID YOU RECEIVE FROM 26 MS. LYNCH DURING THAT TIME PERIOD? 27 A 114.

- 1	THE PARTY OF THE P
-	NOW DID YOU PRINT OUT ANY OF THE E-MAILS THAT
-	YOU RECEIVED FROM MS. LYNCH DURING THE DECEMBER 15TH
3	THROUGH THE FEBRUARY 20 DECEMBER 15TH, 2011 THROUGH
-	FEBRUARY 29TH, 2012 PERIOD?
=	A I DIDN'T PERSONALLY, MY ATTORNEYS DID.
Ē	Q OKAY.
-	WERE YOU PRESENT WHEN YOUR ATTORNEYS PRINTED
:	OUT THOSE E-MAILS, MR. COHEN?
3	A NO.
::	Q DID YOU LOOK AT THE E-MAILS THAT WERE PRINTED
:: .	OUT, ANY OF THE E-MAILS THAT WERE PRINTED OUT DURING THAT
: 2	TIME PERIOD?
13	A YES, I LOOKED AT THEM.
14	Q OKAY.
15	AND WAS THAT DID THAT TIME PERIOD COVER
16	JANUARY 19TH, 2012 THROUGH JANUARY 21ST, 2012?
-7	A YES, I STUDIED THOSE LAST NIGHT.
13	MS. STREETER: ONE MOMENT, YOUR HONOR.
19	I HAVE A BOUND VOLUME OF E-MAILS. I WOULD
20	LIKE TO HAVE THAT MARKED FOR PEOPLE'S 1 FOR REFERENCE. I
21	PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED A COPY TO THE DEFENSE.
22	THE COURT: YOU HAVE A BINDER WITH ABOUT A FIVE INCH
23	STACK OF PAPER.
24	MS. STREETER: THAT IS CORRECT.
25	THE COURT: WELL, ARE ALL THOSE DOCUMENTS EXHIBIT 1?
26	MS. STREETER: YES.
27	THE COURT: YES.
28	DO YOU KNOW HOW MANY PAGES THERE ARE THERE?

MS. STREETER: NO, THOUSANDS. THE CLERK: WE CAN MARK IT AS A BINDER? THE COURT: A BINDER PLUS A LOT OF PAPER IN THE 3 • BINDER, PLUS CONTENTS WILL BE EXHIBIT 1. MS. STREETER: FOR REFERENCE, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: BY REFERENCE, EXHIBIT 1 FOR E IDENTIFICATION. NOW YOU'LL OFFER THEM BY REFERENCE? (PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 1 IS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION BY REFERENCE.) : 2 MS. STREETER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. IF I COULD APPROACH? 15 THE COURT: YES. Ξē BY MS. STREETER: -7 SHOWING YOU WHAT IS PEOPLE'S 1 FOR IDENTIFICATION, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT, MR. COHEN? 19 YES, MA'AM. A 20 WHAT IS THAT? 0 THESE ARE THE E-MAILS THAT WERE RECEIVED 21 BETWEEN JANUARY 19TH AND JANUARY 21ST. I BELIEVE THERE 22 ARE 32 E-MAILS WITH ABOUT 50 PAGES EACH. 23 24 BASED ON THE E-MAILS AND VOICEMAILS THAT YOU RECEIVED FROM DECEMBER 15TH THROUGH FEBRUARY 29TH OF 2012, 25 26 DO YOU HAVE ANY CONCERN ABOUT YOUR SAFETY, MR. COHEN? MR. PERRONI: OBJECTION, RELEVANCE. 27

THE COURT: OVERRULED.

THE WITNESS: YES. BY MS. STREETER: 0 WHAT IS THAT, SIR? GIVEN THE SENSE OF MENACE AND THREAT OFFERED BY ALL THESE PAGES, ANY MAN WOULD BE PRUDENT TO HAVE THE ć SENSE OF FEAR. HAS -- MR. COHEN, SINCE YOU'VE GOTTEN A RESTRAINING ORDER IS THE NUMBER OF E-MAILS THAT ARE IN 3 FRONT OF YOU RIGHT NOW, IS THAT TYPICAL OF THE NUMBER OF E-MAILS THAT YOU HAVE RECEIVED FROM MS. LYNCH SINCE YOU RECEIVED THE RESTRAINING ORDER? 12 MR. PERRONI: OBJECTION, VAGUE. 13 THE COURT: WELL, IF THE WITNESS UNDERSTANDS IT, HE CAN ANSWER. THE WITNESS: YES, THESE ARE SIMILAR TO THE ONES I RECEIVED FOR THE PAST SIX YEARS. - 7 THE COURT: YOU MEAN THIS WAS GOING ON OVER A SIX 13 YEAR PERIOD? 19 THE WITNESS: YES, SIR. 20 THE COURT: THAT IS FOR ONLY ONE MONTH? 21 THE WITNESS: THIS IS TWO DAYS. 22 MS. STREETER: TWO DAYS, YOUR HONOR. 23 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 24 WHAT WAS IN THERE THAT GAVE YOU ANY FEAR OTHER 25 THAN ANY ANNOYANCE? 26 THE WITNESS: IT SAYS, "COHEN SHOULD BE TAKEN OUT 27 AND SHOT BY A FIRING SQUAD. I AM GOING TO TAKE

COHEN DOWN. WE WANT TO EXECUTE STEVE COOLEY.

-	A YEAR OR TW	0.
-	Q	IS IT YOU TOLD US THAT SHE USED TO BE
3	EMPLOYED BY	YOU AS A BUSINESS MANAGER, CORRECT?
<u>:</u>	А	CORRECT.
Ē	Q	WAS THAT THE EXTENT OF YOUR RELATIONSHIP?
6	A	YES, SIR.
-	Q	ARE YOU AWARE THAT MS. LYNCH IS INVOLVED IN
9	SOME SORT OF	A TAX PROCEEDING WITH THE IRS?
è	А	I UNDERSTAND SHE FAILED TO FILE, YES.
2.0	Q	YOU, AS HER EMPLOYER, YOU EMPLOYED HER FOR A
-1	NUMBER OF YE	EARS, CORRECT?
_2	А	CORRECT.
13	Q	WHEN WAS THE LAST TIME THAT YOU SAW MS. LYNCH
14	BEFORE TODAY	??
15	A	THE LAST TIME WAS I THINK IT WAS 2004 OR
16	2005.	
17	Q .	HAS SHE VANDALIZED ANY OF YOUR PROPERTY DURING
18	THAT TIME?	
19	A	NO.
20	Q	OR STOLEN ANYTHING
21	A	JUST MY PEACE OF MIND.
22	Q	NOW, I LOST MY TRAIN OF THOUGHT THERE. I GOT
23	IT AGAIN.	
24		YOU OBTAINED A RESTRAINING ORDER AGAINST
25		OME TIME BACK IN 2005; IS THAT CORRECT?
26		THAT WAS THE FIRST ONE, YES, SIR.
27	Q	HERE IN CALIFORNIA?
28	A	CORRECT.

	-	Q THAT WAS THAT ONE HAS EXPIRED?
	2	A IT EXPIRED, YES.
	3	Q YOU SUBSEQUENTLY OBTAINED A RESTRAINING ORDER
	4	AGAINST MS. LYNCH IN THE STATE OF COLORADO; IS THAT
	5	CORRECT?
	6	A CORRECT.
	7	Q MS. LYNCH WAS IN COURT AT THE TIME THAT
	3	RESTRAINING ORDER IN COLORADO WAS GRANTED, CORRECT?
	9	A CORRECT.
	10	Q SUBSEQUENTLY YOU OBTAINED A NEW RESTRAINING
	11	ORDER OUT HERE IN CALIFORNIA AGAIN, CORRECT?
	12	A CORRECT.
	13	Q NOW, AT THAT TIME, MS. LYNCH WAS NOT IN COURT,
	14	CORRECT?
	15	A I DON'T KNOW, SIR, I WASN'T THERE.
	16	MS. STREETER: OBJECTION, ASSUMES FACTS NOT IN
	17	EVIDENCE.
	18	THE COURT: IT'S CROSS-EXAMINATION. HE CAN LEAD THE
	19	WITNESS. IT'S NOT A MATTER OF AN ISSUE NOT IN EVIDENCE, A
	20	FACT NOT IN EVIDENCE. HE'S ASKING THE WITNESS IF HE KNEW
	21	IF THE DEFENDANT WAS IN CUSTODY WHEN THE COURT SIGNED THE
	22	ORDER OR WAS IT SERVED UPON HER.
	23	
	24	1
	25	
di C	26	EXCUSE ME.
	2	MAY I SUGGEST IF YOU JUST ASK THE QUESTION,
	2	I'LL HEAR A SPECIFIC ANSWER. WHENEVER YOU MAKE A NEGATIVE

1	A YES.
2	Q THEN HANDED YOU A PIECE OF PAPER?
3	A SEVERAL PIECES OF PAPER, YES.
4	Q THAT THEY TOLD YOU WAS A RESTRAINING ORDER?
5	A YES, IT SEEMED TO HAVE THE PROPER
6	DOCUMENTATION.
7	THE COURT: DOES ANYBODY HAVE A COPY OF THE
3	RESTRAINING ORDER?
9	MS. STREETER: IT IS IN THE COURT FILE.
10	THE COURT: WELL, WHY DON'T YOU LET ME KNOW WHAT IT
11	IS, AND I'LL TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE OF ANYTHING IN THE
12	FILE.
13	MS. STREETER: IT'S BQ33717.
14	MR. PERRONI: I DON'T BELIEVE THERE'S A COPY OF IT
15	IN THE FILE. THERE'S A NUMBER.
16	MS. STREETER: IF YOU LOOK IF IT'S IN THE POLICE
17	REPORT, IT'S IN THE DOCUMENT WITH THE POLICE REPORT.
18	THE COURT: MA'AM, I DON'T HAVE A POLICE REPORT IN
19	THE FILE. THE POLICE REPORT DOES NOT BELONG IN THE FILE.
20	THE COURT RECORDS ARE IN THE FILE, AND ALL I
21	FIND IS AN ORIGINAL COMPLAINT AND AN AMENDED COMPLAINT.
22	HERE'S A COPY OF A PROTECTIVE ORDER IN THE FILE.
23	ALL RIGHT.
24	MR. PERRONI: I BELIEVE THAT IS THE ONE IN THIS
25	CASE.
26	MS. STREETER: RIGHT, RIGHT.
27	THE COURT: WHAT?
28	MR. PERRONI: IT WAS A PRETRIAL PROTECTIVE ORDER

- 1	
1	A YES.
2	Q THEN HANDED YOU A PIECE OF PAPER?
3	A SEVERAL PIECES OF PAPER, YES.
4	Q THAT THEY TOLD YOU WAS A RESTRAINING ORDER?
5	A YES, IT SEEMED TO HAVE THE PROPER
6	DOCUMENTATION.
7	THE COURT: DOES ANYBODY HAVE A COPY OF THE
3	RESTRAINING ORDER?
9	MS. STREETER: IT IS IN THE COURT FILE.
10	THE COURT: WELL, WHY DON'T YOU LET ME KNOW WHAT IT
11	IS, AND I'LL TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE OF ANYTHING IN THE
12	FILE.
13	MS. STREETER: IT'S BQ33717.
14	MR. PERRONI: I DON'T BELIEVE THERE'S A COPY OF IT
15	IN THE FILE. THERE'S A NUMBER.
16	MS. STREETER: IF YOU LOOK IF IT'S IN THE POLICE
17	REPORT, IT'S IN THE DOCUMENT WITH THE POLICE REPORT.
18	THE COURT: MA'AM, I DON'T HAVE A POLICE REPORT IN
19	THE FILE. THE POLICE REPORT DOES NOT BELONG IN THE FILE.
20	THE COURT RECORDS ARE IN THE FILE, AND ALL I
21	FIND IS AN ORIGINAL COMPLAINT AND AN AMENDED COMPLAINT.
22	HERE'S A COPY OF A PROTECTIVE ORDER IN THE FILE.
23	ALL RIGHT.
24,	MR. PERRONI: I BELIEVE THAT IS THE ONE IN THIS
25	CASE.
26	MS. STREETER: RIGHT, RIGHT.
27	THE COURT: WHAT?
28	MR. PERRONI: IT WAS A PRETRIAL PROTECTIVE ORDER

ISSUED UNDER THIS CASE NUMBER. IT IS NOT THE ONES THAT MS. STREETER IS REFERENCING.

THE COURT: WELL, IF IT'S NOT SOMETHING THAT THIS
WITNESS IS REFERRING TO, SOMETHING THAT WAS GIVEN TO HIM,
DOES ANYBODY HAVE A COPY OF THAT? THAT IS WHAT SHE'S
SUPPOSED TO BE IN VIOLATION OF, BUT HOW AM I GOING TO SEE
THE ORDER?

MS. STREETER: MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT PERHAPS THE JUDICIAL ASSISTANT HAS THE POLICE -- COPIES OF THE POLICE REPORT, BUT THE COLORADO PROTECTIVE ORDER, RESTRAINING ORDER AND THE CALIFORNIA ORDER IS IN THE POLICE FILE AND THE CALIFORNIA ORDER IS A REGISTRATION OF THE COLORADO RECORD WHICH WAS PERMANENT.

THERE WAS NO HEARING PER SE INVOLVING MS. LYNCH.

MR. COHEN HAS A PERMANENT RESTRAINING ORDER
THAT WAS GRANTED BY THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND AS PART OF
THE UNIFORM ACT WHEN A PERSON MOVES, THEY HAVE TO REGISTER
THAT RESTRAINING ORDER IN THE NEW STATE. SO THE
CALIFORNIA ORDER IS MERELY A REGISTRATION OF THE COLORADO
ORDER THAT WAS GRANTED A FEW YEARS AGO THAT WAS PERMANENT.

I HAVE AS THE COURT CAN SEE, MY FILES ARE

VOLUMINOUS ON THIS CASE. I ONLY BROUGHT THE E-MAILS AS I

FIGURED THAT WOULD BE THE PART THAT WOULD BE NECESSARY.

IF THE COURT NEEDS THE ACTUAL RESTRAINING

ORDER FROM BOTH, I HAVE THAT. IT IS IN DEPARTMENT 40. I

CAN GO REAL QUICKLY AND GET THEM FOR THE COURT.

THE COURT: IF THE E-MAILS THEMSELVES MAY CONSTITUTE

A VIOLATION OF PENAL CODE SECTION 653(M), SUBDIVISION (B)
THAT IS ANNOYING COMMUNICATIONS, ANNOY, BUT VIOLATION OF
PENAL CODE SECTION 273.6(A) WHICH CHARGES A VIOLATION OF
THE PROTECTIVE ORDER, NEEDS PROOF OF THE PROTECTIVE ORDER,
THAT THE DEFENDANT KNOWS ABOUT.

NOW ARE YOU READY TO PROVE-- YOU ARE NOT GOING TO PROVE -- IN A TRIAL YOU ARE NOT GOING TO DO IT, THIS HAPPENS HARDLY.

MS. STREETER: THE PEOPLE ARE OPERATING UNDER THE
BASIS I BELIEVE IT IS 1268 WHICH IS SAFETY TO THE
COMMUNITY IN SETTING BAIL AND BASED ON THE INFORMATION
THAT MR. COHEN AND HIS ATTORNEYS HAVE RECEIVED FROM
MS. LYNCH DURING THAT TIME PERIOD -- IF I COULD BE ALLOWED
TO HAVE A BRIEF RECESS, I CAN GO GET THE PROTECTIVE ORDER,
THE TWO ORDERS THAT ARE MENTIONED

THE COURT: RATHER THEN WASTE TIME, GIVE ME AN OFFER OF PROOF. WILL YOU BE IN A POSITION TO PROVE THAT THIS DEFENDANT HAS EVER HAD NOTICE OF THE PROTECTIVE ORDER?

MS. STREETER: YES, BECAUSE SHE WAS PRESENT AT THE HEARING. I HAVE A TRANSCRIPT OF THE HEARING WHERE SHE AGREED TO ABIDE BY THE HEARING IN THE CALIFORNIA RESTRAINING ORDER THAT MR. PERRONI IS MENTIONING IS MERELY A REGISTRATION OF THE COLORADO RESTRAINING ORDER. THAT IS PERMANENT.

THE COURT: WILL THE DOCUMENT ITSELF HAVE PROOF IN IT THAT THIS DEFENDANT KNEW ABOUT IT?

MS. STREETER: YES, I ALSO HAVE THE TRANSCRIPT, YOUR HONOR, IF THE COURT WANTS TO SEE THE TRANSCRIPT FROM THE

25 SUBJECT?

26 MS. STREETER: I DON'T HAVE ANY MORE QUESTIONS.

27 THE COURT: THEN I'LL ASK HIM A QUESTION.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

23

28

MR. COHEN, DO YOU HAVE ANY BASIS FOR BELIEVING

DO YOU HAVE ANY MORE QUESTIONS ON THAT

THAT THIS LADY REALLY MEANT TO DO YOU HARM OR MEANS TO DO 1 YOU HARM? 2 THE WITNESS: SHE STATES SO OVER AND OVER, SIR, 3 YES. 4 THE COURT: DO YOU BELIEVE IT? 5 THE WITNESS: YES. 6 THE COURT: AND YOU ACTUALLY SAY YOU HAVE LOSS PEACE 7 OF MIND. 8 ARE YOU IN FEAR? 9 THE WITNESS: SIR, MAY I SPEAK? YES. 10 THE COURT: DO YOU NEED TO EXPLAIN THAT ANSWER? 11 THE WITNESS: YES. 12 THE COURT: WHAT IS YOUR EXPLANATION? 13 THE WITNESS: I HAVE GRANDCHILDREN PLAYING ON MY 14 FRONT LAWN. I RECEIVE PHONE CALLS THAT ARE CHILLING. MY 15 ATTORNEY RECEIVES PHONE CALLS. 16 THE COURT: DON'T TELL ME WHAT YOUR ATTORNEY 17 RECEIVES. THAT WOULD BE HEARSAY. 18 THE WITNESS: I RECEIVE PHONE CALLS THAT ARE SLURRED 19 THAT ARE INFLICTED WITH ALCOHOL, THAT ARE UGLY, THAT ARE 20 MENACING, AN ATMOSPHERE OVER THE PAST SIX YEARS OF 15 TO 21 20 E-MAILS A DAY VOWING TO TAKE ME DOWN, VOWING TO 22 HUMILIATE ME, VOWING TO BRING ME TO SOME SORT OF FICTIOUS 23 JUSTICE SHE'S CONSTRUED I DESERVE. YES, THAT CREATES AN 24 ATMOSPHERE OF FEAR. 25 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 26 IS THAT ALL YOU HAVE? 27 MS. STREETER: YES.

. 2

4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: YOU WANT ANY MORE CROSS-EXAMINATION?
ACTUALLY THIS GENTLEMEN IS IN THE PROCESS OF
CROSS-EXAMINATION.

DO YOU HAVE ANY MORE QUESTIONS?

MR. PERRONI: I WAS -- I FIGURED I WOULD --

THE COURT: I AM SORRY I INTERFERED. THAT IS ALL RIGHT.

I'VE JUST BEEN DIVERTED FROM THE FACTS THAT ARE TO BE RESOLVED AT THIS TIME.

MOVE IT ON.

MR. PERRONI: I AM DONE.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

ALL RIGHT. MR. COHEN, YOU MAY STEP DOWN.

THE WITNESS: THANK YOU, SIR.

MS. STREETER: THANK YOU.

THE COURT: ANYTHING ELSE?

MS. STREETER: NO, YOUR HONOR. THAT IS IT.

THE COURT: ARE YOU GOING TO OFFER ANY DEFENSE?

MR. PERRONI: NO, YOUR HONOR, WE DO -- I THINK WE ARE CLEAR THAT WE ARE ASKING FOR HER TO BE RELEASED, AND I DON'T KNOW IF YOU WANT A 911 MOTION? I CAN MAKE ONE AS TO SOME OF THE COUNTS.

THE COURT: WHAT'S A 911 MOTION?

MR. PERRONI: IT IS LIKE A 995 FOR MISDEMEANORS AT ARRAIGNMENT. IF THEY ARE IN CUSTODY YOU DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT THERE'S REASONABLE CAUSE THAT SHE'S COMMITTED ANY NEW AMENDED COUNTS.

YOU PROBABLY DON'T SEE IT MUCH IN HERE.

THE COURT: WHAT IS YOUR ARGUMENT WHY THE BAIL SHOULD NOT BE RAISED? I DON'T KNOW HOW COME AFTER THE WARRANT WAS ISSUED WHICH I SAW IN THE FILE HAD A RECOMMENDED \$50.000 BAIL WHY WAS IT REDUCED TO 15,000?

MR. PERRONI: WELL, I THINK IT WAS AN ARREST WARRANT, YOUR HONOR, AND SHE DIDN'T HAVE ANY NOTICE OF THE . CHARGES THAT WERE FILED. THEY DIDN'T GIVE HER NOTICE OF THE ACTUAL FILING OF THE CHARGES. SO THEY SENT OUT A FAIRLY HIGH ARREST WARRANT. I AM NOT SURE. I WASN'T PRESENT AT BAUCHET WHEN SHE SET IT AT \$15,000, BUT WE DIDN'T HAVE ACCESS TO THE E-MAILS THAT WE HAVE ACCESS TO NOW.

THE COURT: THAT BINDER IN FRONT OF YOU, ARE THOSE THE SAME DOCUMENTS THAT ARE IN THE EXHIBIT 1?

MR. PERRONI: I'VE BEEN TOLD THEY ARE. I'VE BEEN TOLD THEY ARE.

THE COURT: I DON'T EXPECT YOU TO --

MR. PERRONI: I HAVE GONE THROUGH IT PAGE BY PAGE.

THE COURT: NO, YOU DIDN'T.

MR. PERRONI: BUT I'VE LOOKED THROUGH THEM. ARE ONE SIDED PIECES OF PAPER. OBVIOUSLY IT'S AN ANNOYING AMOUNT OF E-MAILS, BUT SHOULD SHE BE IN JAIL -- ALONG WITH A WOMAN WITH NO RECORD BECAUSE SHE'S SENDING A BUNCH OF ANNOYING E-MAILS WITHOUT GOING TO SOMEBODY'S HOUSE, VANDALIZING ANY PROPERTY?

HE'S ANNOYED BECAUSE IT'S BEEN HAPPENING FOR A I JUST DON'T THINK WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO GO WHILE. THROUGH ALL THIS STUFF. THESE ARE MISDEMEANORS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DOESN'T HAVE ANY RECORD. THERE HAVEN'T BEEN ANY REAL CREDIBLE THREATS OF VIOLENCE OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT.

OBVIOUSLY HE'S UNEASY, BUT HE HASN'T SEEN HER SINCE 2004. SO SHE'S NOT GOING THROUGH HIS HOUSE AND PEEPING THROUGH HIS WINDOWS. I DON'T KNOW. IT KIND OF --I'VE GONE THROUGH THESE. SHE'S OBVIOUSLY ANNOYING.

THE COURT: WELL, YOU HEARD THE WITNESS STATE THE NATURE OF THE E-MAILS. IT'S NOT JUST AN ANNOYING AMOUNT OF PAPER AND ATTENTION GETTING BUT THERE ARE POSITIVE PHYSICAL THREATS THAT HE FEARS.

MR. PERRONI: I DIDN'T HEAR ANY POSITIVE PHYSICAL THREATS. IT WAS, WE SHOULD TAKE HIM DOWN, OR I AM GOING TO TAKE YOU DOWN. THEY HAD A BUSINESS RELATIONSHIP, AND SHE'S DOING NOTHING TO SUGGEST THAT SHE'S GOING TO CARRY THROUGH ON ANY OF THESE THREATS.

HE HAS NOT SEEN HER SINCE 2004. THERE'S NO IMPLICATION THAT SHE'S GOING TO COME AND PHYSICALLY TAKE HIM DOWN. HE KNOWS SHE HAS A FELONY TAX CASE. HE EMPLOYED HER. HE HAS THE RECORDS.

THE COURT: I AM NOT INTERESTED IN ALL OF THAT. ONLY THING WE HAVE TO BE CONCERNED ABOUT IS, MS. STREETER, WHAT IS THE CHANGE IN CIRCUMSTANCES SINCE THE DEFENDANT'S ARREST AT INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT WHERE YOU SAID THE BAIL WAS REDUCED.

NOW YOU HAD THAT BINDER FULL OF PAPER AT THAT TIME, DID YOU NOT?

> MS. STREETER: NO.

THE COURT: WERE YOU AT THAT ARRAIGNMENT?

MS. STREETER: NO.

THE COURT: DID YOU -- THEN WHAT IS IT?

MS. STREETER: I KNOW WHAT WAS PRESENTED AT THE ARRAIGNMENT BECAUSE I WAS TALKING TO MY COLLEAGUE BY PHONE. WE DID NOT HAVE THESE E-MAILS. I JUST TOLD HER THAT I KNEW THAT THERE WERE MORE E-MAILS THAT WERE SENT, BUT I DID NOT KNOW THE VOLUME OF E-MAILS THAT WERE SENT.

I FOUND THAT OUT AFTER MS. LYNCH WAS

ARRAIGNED. IF THE PEOPLE HAD KNOWN THAT THERE WERE THIS

VOLUME OF E-MAILS AT THE TIME OF ARRAIGNMENT, THE PEOPLE

WOULD HAVE FILED A MOTION TO AMEND.

I WAS AMAZED BY THE VOLUME OF E-MAILS. THE
PEOPLE HAD NO IDEA THAT THERE WERE THIS MANY E-MAILS, AND
THE PERSON HANDLING THE CASE AT ARRAIGNMENT WASN'T
FAMILIAR WITH IT AS MUCH AS I WAS, BUT EVEN WITH THAT, I
WAS UNAWARE THAT IT WAS THIS LEVEL OF E-MAILS IN A TWO AND
A HALF MONTH PERIOD.

WE ARE TALKING ABOUT NEARLY 900 E-MAILS IN A TWO AND A HALF MONTH PERIOD PLUS VOICEMAILS THAT HE ALSO -- THOSE VOICEMAIL MESSAGES THAT HE ALSO RECEIVED FROM MS. LYNCH DURING THE TIME PERIOD. PEOPLE SEE A CHANGE IN CIRCUMSTANCE THAT THE SHEER VOLUME OF E-MAILS THAT WERE SENT DURING THAT TIME PERIOD --

THE COURT: THE VOLUME OF ANNOYANCE IS ONE THING,
THE SUBSTANCE WHICH INCLUDES THREATS WHICH CAUSE MR. COHEN
FEAR IS ANOTHER THING.

NOW DID YOU HAVE KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBSTANCE,
THE CONTENT OF THOSE -- ANY E-MAILS THAT CONTAINED

THREATENING LANGUAGE?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MS. STREETER: NO.

THE PEOPLE HAD NO KNOWLEDGE OF THE CONTENTS OF THE E-MAILS FROM DECEMBER 15TH, 2011, TO FEBRUARY 29TH, 2012, NOR DID THE PEOPLE HAVE ANY IDEA OF THE VOLUME OF E-MAILS THAT WERE SENT DURING THAT TIME PERIOD. AND THE PEOPLE DID NOT HAVE ANY IDEA -- IN FACT, ALL THE PEOPLE REPRESENTED WAS INVOLVED WAS SHE HAD SENT SOME ADDITIONAL E-MAILS.

I WAS NOT EVEN AWARE THAT MS. LYNCH HAD TRIED TO CALL MR. COHEN FROM THE TIME PERIOD OF DECEMBER 15TH, 2011 TO FEBRUARY 29TH, 2012, BUT WHAT I WOULD SAY IF THE COURT LOOKS AT THE WARRANT AND FROM WHERE MS. LYNCH CAME FROM THE OTHER ISSUE THE COURT NEEDS TO BE MINDFUL OF, MS. LYNCH DOES NOT LIVE IN THE AREA. SHE LIVES IN BERKELEY.

THE CONCERN THAT THE PEOPLE HAVE ABOUT DEFENSE REQUESTS ABOUT HAVING HER RELEASED O.R. I MEAN FROM WHAT I GATHER, THIS IS NOT A DEFENDANT THAT HAS MANY TIES TO THIS COMMUNITY GIVEN WHAT SHE'S FACING, WHAT IS THERE TO KEEP HER HERE AND NOT JUST FLEEING THE JURISDICTION?

THE COURT: AND YOU DID NOT INTEND TO PUT ON ANY EVIDENCE AT ALL?

MR. PERRONI: NO.

THE COURT: YOU CONCEDE THAT SHE IS NOT A RESIDENT OF THIS AREA?

MR. PERRONI: LAST I CHECKED I BELIEVE THAT BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA, RIGHT?

 \odot 00

1	MS. STREETER: IT'S NOT LOS ANGELES.
2	MR. PERRONI: SHE HAS FAMILY IN THE AREA, YOUR
3	HONOR.
4	I THINK HER CHILDREN AND TWO OF HER BROTHERS
5	LIVE IN LOS ANGELES.
6	MS. STREETER: SHE'S ESTRANGED FROM HER CHILDREN.
7	THE DEFENDANT: NO, I AM NOT. I AM NOT ESTRANGED
8	FROM MY CHILDREN.
9	MS. STREETER: YOUR HONOR, BAIL THE PEOPLE WOULD
10	LIKE THE COURT TO BE MINDFUL THAT BAIL SCHEDULE UNDER
11	273.6 IS \$25,000.00.
12	THE COURT: THE SCHEDULE CALLED FOR WHAT?
13	MS. STREETER: \$25,000.00 BAIL SCHEDULE.
14	SO IF YOU WOULD WERE JUST TO SET BAIL ON
15	TWO OF THE 273.6'S THAT WOULD BE \$50.000, YOUR HONOR.
16	THE COURT: HOW DID YOU DOUBLE IT?
17	MS. STREETER: \$25,000.00 FOR EACH COUNT, YOUR
18	HONOR. THERE IS MORE THAN ONE COUNT OF 273.6. THERE ARE
19	SEVERAL COUNTS OF 273.6.
20	THE COURT: WHAT IS YOUR ARGUMENT FOR YOUR RELEASE
21	O.R?
22	MR. PERRONI: SHE COMES TO HER COURT DAYS. WE KNOW
23	THAT BECAUSE SHE CAME TO THE COLORADO COURT DATE. THEY
24	HAVE THE TRANSCRIPT FOR IT. SHE WAS THERE. SHE HAD NO
25	KNOWLEDGE OF THE OTHER ONES HERE.
26	SHE'S NOT THEY PRESENTED NO EVIDENCE THAT
27	SHE'S A FLIGHT RISK. SHE HAS NO CRIMINAL RECORD. THERE
28	HAS BEEN NO VIOLENCE, AND THESE ARE ALL MISDEMEANORS.

-	BYDRITHING HERE I THINK WE HAVE A LOT OF WORK TO DO ON
2	THE CASE. I THINK EVERYTHING HERE WARRANTS AN O.R.
3	RELEASE.
4	THE COURT: I DISAGREE GO AHEAD. I SHOULD NOT
5	HAVE INTERRUPTED YOU.
6	THE GENTLEMEN APPEARS TO BE FEARFUL. HE'S
7	OLDER. HE MENTIONS HIS GRANDCHILDREN ON THE PROPERTY.
8	ALL RIGHT. GO AHEAD, MAKE YOUR WHOLE
9	ARGUMENT.
10	MR. PERRONI: SHE'S NEVER COME TO THE PROPERTY.
11	THEY ARE E-MAILS. THEY DIDN'T BREAK HIS COMPUTER.
12	THERE'S NOTHING SAYING WHEN HE SEES HE GETS AN E-MAIL
13	FROM SUCH AND SUCH E-MAIL ADDRESS HE HAS TO OPEN IT UP AND
14	READ IT IN ITS ENTIRETY. IT'S ANNOYING.
15	SHE'S NOT COMING TO HIS HOUSE AND BREAKING HIS
16	STUFF AND SCARING HIS GRANDCHILDREN. SHE'S NOT GOING TO
17	HIS GRANDCHILDREN'S HOUSE OR HIS CHILDREN'S HOUSE. THE
18	ONE TIME HE SAYS HE THOUGHT SHE CAME TO SOMEONE CLOSE TO
19	HIM WAS TO HIS ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. HE HAS NOT SEEN HER
20	SINCE 2004.
21	I UNDERSTAND HE MAY BE AFRAID. WHETHER THAT
22	IS REASONABLE OR NOT BECAUSE YOU ARE ANNOYED BY E-MAILS
23	THE COURT: FINISH YOUR ARGUMENT.
24	MR. PERRONI: SUBMITTED, YOUR HONOR.
2,5	THE COURT: WELL
26	MR. PERRONI: YOU CAN CALL ME WHATEVER YOU WANT.
27	THE COURT: MR. PERRONI, I UNDERSTAND YOUR POSITION,

YOUR ARGUMENT, BUT IN THIS POSITION WE DEAL WITH PEOPLE IN

MANY MANY SITUATIONS AND WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE VOLUME OF COMMUNICATION HERE, ONE HAS TO BE CONCERNED WITH THE MENTAL STABILITY OF A PERSON WHO WOULD GO TO THESE LENGTHS OVER AN ISSUE THAT APPEARS TO BE BASED ON -- I DON'T KNOW WHAT FOR SURE.

IT WAS A LONG PERSONAL OR BUSINESS RELATIONSHIP, AND THEY ARE AT ODDS, BUT WHEN WE HAVE TO DEAL WITH SOMEBODY WHOSE MENTAL STABILITY IS SUSPECT AS I MUST TELL YOU, I HAVE SUSPICION OF SOMEBODY WHO WOULD GO TO THESE LENGTHS TO ANNOY AND THERE COMES A MOMENT WHEN THERE IS A BREAK AND SOMETHING TRAGIC CAN HAPPEN.

I SEE NO GROUNDS TO RELEASE MS. LYNCH ON HER OWN RECOGNIZANCE AT ALL. ACTUALLY UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES, I DON'T KNOW THAT THERE WAS ANY REASON FOR THE ARRAIGNMENT COURT TO HAVE REDUCED THE RECOMMENDED BAIL WHICH UNDAUNTEDLY WAS AS MS. STREETER POINTED OUT, RECOMMENDED BY THE CITY ATTORNEY AT \$50.000 WHEN THE WARRANT WAS ISSUED, BUT IN BEING A REPETITION OF THE SAME KIND OF ACTIVITY, I DON'T THINK THAT I JUST HAVE TO AUTOMATICALLY ASSUME BECAUSE IT WAS DONE TWICE THAT YOU DOUBLED THE BAIL, THE BAIL SCHEDULE.

I WILL RAISE THE BAIL TO THE BAIL SCHEDULE. THE ONLY CHANGED CIRCUMSTANCE THAT MS. STREETER REFERRED TO IS NOT BEING AWARE OF THE THREATENING ASPECTS OF THOSE PORTIONS OF THE COMMUNICATION WHICH CAUSED MR. COHEN THE FEAR.

SO THE BAIL IS NOW SET IN THE SUM OF \$25,000.00 IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SCHEDULE.

(-) O Л 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

 ω

Ö

1	(WHEREUPON THE MATTER WAS TRANSFERRED TO
2	DIVISION 40 FORTHWITH.)
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	·
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	•
25	,
26	
27	
28	

Θ

8/2015

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 2 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 3 DEPARTMENT NO. 44 HON. SAMUEL MAYERSON, JUDGE 4 5 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, · 6 PLAINTIFF, 7 VS. NO. 2CA04539 KELLEY LYNCH, 8 REPORTER'S DEFENDANT. CERTIFICATE 9 10 11 STATE OF CALIFORNIA SS 12 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES) 13 I, ANNETTE L. VAN OLDEN, OFFICIAL 14 REPORTER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF 15 16 CALIFORNIA, FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY 17 CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING PAGES 1 THROUGH 38, COMPRISE A 18 FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HELD 19 AND TESTIMONY TAKEN IN DEPARTMENT NO. 44 IN THE MATTER OF 20 THE ABOVE-ENTITLED CAUSE ON MARCH 23, 2012. 21 DATED THIS 12TH DAY OF AUGUST, 2014. 22 23 24 25 26 27